Ecological Review of Ki Lun Shan Study Area October 2025

1. Introduction

This is a desktop review of the ecological situation of the subject site, undertaken to demonstrate that no eco-sensitive receivers are anticipated. This initial review is based on information from a landscape resources survey (Appendix 2 of this Application submission), and with reference to the Government's proposed Agriculture Park. Subject to approval of the planning application, it will be possible to carry out a more detailed ecological analysis.

2. Site Ecology – Beas River and Wetland

The subject site is located at Kwu Tung South within the Northern Metropolis, adjacent to the Beas River (Sheung Yue River). The river has been channelised and is not listed among the 33 ecologically important streams.

The site does not fall within any sensitive areas, such as an existing or gazetted proposed country park, conservation area, existing or gazetted proposed marine park or marine reserve, site of cultural heritage, or site of special scientific interest (SSSI). Furthermore, no ecological hotspots have been recorded or identified within the subject site.

Little potential ecologically sensitive receivers to be include:

- Lam Tsuen Country Park approximately 1.9 km south of the subject area.
- Long Valley Nature Park approximately 1.8 km northeast of the subject area.
- Long Valley (38 ha) has been identified as a Priority Site for Enhanced Conservation under the "New Natue Conservation Policy" and opened to the public in late 2024. The Nature Park is a man-made wetland containing wet farmland and marshes, with approximately 341 bird species recorded. It is separated from the subject area by the San Tin Highway.
- Kwu Tung Reservoir around 350 m from the subject area, located uphill and used mainly for irrigation.

Relevant Reference – Site Landscape Resources (undertaken by Applicant in the Appendix 2 of this submission)

A total of eight habitat types within the 12.7 ha site have been identified and classified (**Table 1**). These habitat types are typical in the northern New Territories. Woodland is dominant (3.8 ha) within the Study Area, while the smallest category is rivers and streams (0.1 ha). The 1.5 ha of farmland will be removed, while rivers, streams, and ponds will be retained as far as possible as water features to enhance on-site landscaping. This approach will help to minimise disturbance to aquatic-related wildlife.

4. Relevant Reference – Government Proposed Agriculture Park

(Chapter 10, Report on Preliminary Technical Study, Engineering Feasibility Study for the Establishment of an Agriculture Park – Feasibility Study, CEDD, 2017)

No significant ecologically sensitive issues were identified in this study, and none are anticipated within the proposed subject site.

5. Adjoining EIA

5.1 Adjoining EIAs

According to the EPD's EIA website, there are three Environmental Impact Assessments (EIAs) in this part of the New Territories:

- The Sheung Shui to Lok Ma Chau Spur Line (EIA-044/2000)
- North East New Territories New Development Areas (EIA-213/2013)
- Technical Study on Partial Development of Fanling Golf Course Site Feasibility
 Study (EIA-282/2022)

The Spur Line EIA is outdated, and both it and the other EIAs are located more than 1.5 km from the subject area. Therefore, they are considered irrelevant for reference.

5.2 Adjoining Planning Rezoning / Applications

There are two rezoning cases from 2023 located at Kam Hang Road, Kam Tsin, near Fanling Highway. These sites are 1.6 km from the subject area and do not involve any ecological issues.

6. **Birds Observations**

Bird observations from eBird (an online bird-record database) for the Beas River near the

subject area, covering the period December 2024 to February 2025, recorded 45 species, of

which 15 were wetland-dependent (**Table 2**). The Greater Painted Snipe — an uncommon

waterbird often used as an indicator of ecological value in freshwater habitats — was among

the species recorded.

The presence of several waterbird species suggests that the ecological value of the Beas River

is low to moderate. More generally, the presence of a range of common terrestrial wildlife in

the surrounding area suggests that the subject area is a typical lowland environment in Hong

Kong, with no species of high conservation importance or biodiversity "hotspots" expected.

7. Conclusion

Based on the available information, the Sheung Yue River has a low to moderate ecological

value due to the presence of waterbirds. For other habitats within the subject area, no

relevant ecological data are available. However, the habitat plan indicates that there are no

high-value habitats, such as freshwater marshes or fung shui woods, present. Furthermore,

there are no identified ecological hotspots in the area. Therefore, any terrestrial ecological

issues, if present, are expected to be insignificant.

References:

Appendix 2 – LMP under this Application

Ebird obs

10 Dec 2024 https://ebird.org/checklist/S208696257

11 Jan 2025 https://ebird.org/checklist/S208783743

3 Feb 2025 https://ebird.org/checklist/S211780122

Table 1. Habitats of the subject site extract from the Appendix 2

Habitat type	Area (ha)	
Secondary woodland	3.8	
Grassland	2.2	
Agricultural land	1.5	
Rivers and streams	0.1	
Ponds and marshes	1.2	
Disturbed areas	1.4	
Village	2.1	
Open storage	0.5	
Total	12.7	

18 Common Kingfisher (*Alcedo atthis*)

Table 2. A checklist of bird species recorded at River Beas between Dec 2024 and February 2025 (Based on three checklists available on ebird). A total of 45 bird species. The Greater Painted Snipe is an uncommon species and is often used as an indicator of the ecological value of freshwater habitats. (Remark: * = Wetland dependent species).

	Bird species	10/12/24	14/1/25	3/2/25
1	Spotted Dove (Spilopelia chinensis)	+	+	+
2	Greater Coucal (Centropus sinensis)			+
3	Asian Koel (Eudynamys scolopaceus)	+		
4	White-breasted Waterhen (Amaurornis phoenicurus)	+	+	+
5	Black-winged Stilt (Himantopus himantopus)			+
6	Greater Painted Snipe (Rostratula benghalensis)	+	+	+
7	Common Snipe (Gallinago gallinago)	+	+	
8	Common Sandpiper (Actitis hypoleucos)			+
9	Green Sandpiper (<i>Tringa ochropus</i>)		+	+
10	Wood Sandpiper (<i>Tringa glareola</i>)		+	+
11	Common Greenshank (Tringa nebularia)	+	+	+
12	Little Egret (Egretta garzetta)	+	+	+
13	Chinese Pond Heron (Ardeola bacchus)	+	+	
14	Eastern Cattle Egret (Bubulcus coromandus)			+
15	Great Egret (Ardea alba)		+	+
16	Grey Heron (Ardea cinerea)	+	+	+
17	Black Kite (Milvus migrans)			+

			1	1
19	Black-winged Cuckooshrike (Coracina melaschistos)			+
20	Hair-crested Drongo (Dicrurus hottentottus)		+	+
21	Red-billed Blue Magpie (Urocissa erythroryncha)		+	+
22	Grey Treepie (Dendrocitta formosae)	+		+
23	Collared Crow (Corvus torquatus)		+	
24	Common Tailorbird (Orthotomus sutorius)		+	+
25	Eastern Red-rumped Swallow (Cecropis striolata)		+	
26	Chinese Bulbul (<i>Pycnonotus sinensis</i>)	+	+	
27	Red-whiskered Bulbul (Pycnonotus jocosus)	+	+	+
28	Yellow-browed Warbler (Phylloscopus inornatus)		+	
29	Dusky Warbler (Phylloscopus fuscatus)	+		
30	Swinhoe's White-eye (Zosterops simplex)			+
31	Rufous-capped Babbler (Stachyridopsis ruficeps)	+		+
32	Masked Laughingthrush (Pterorhinus perspicillatus)	+	+	+
33	Black-collared Starling (Gracupica nigricollis)	+	+	+
34	Crested Myna (Acridotheres cristatellus)	+	+	+
35	White's Thrush (Zoothera aurea)			+
36	Grey-backed Thrush (Turdus hortulorum)		+	+
37	Oriental Magpie Robin (Copsychus saularis)	+	+	+
38	Red-breasted Flycatcher (Ficedula parva)			+
39	Daurian Redstart (<i>Phoenicurus auroreus</i>)			+
40	Amur Stonechat (Saxicola stejnegeri)			+
41	Eurasian Tree Sparrow (Passer montanus)		+	+
42	Grey Wagtail (Motacilla cinerea)	+	+	+
43	Eastern Yellow Wagtail (Motacilla tschutschensis)			+
44	White Wagtail (Motacilla alba)	+	+	+
45	Olive-backed Pipit (Anthus hodgsoni)		+	+